1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OSCAR W. JONES,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-00-2811 GEB KJM P
12	VS.	
13	LOU BLANAS, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	ABDULLAH MUHAMMAD,	
17	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-01-2270 DFL KJM P
18	VS.	
19	CHAPLAIN ORTIZ, et al.,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22	/////	
23	/////	
24	/////	
25	/////	
26	/////	
ı		

## Case 2:00-cv-02811-GEB-EFB Document 85 Filed 06/23/05 Page 2 of 3

1 2	JUMAH ABDULLAHI THOMAS MOORE ALI,	
3	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-02-0937 MCE KJM P	
4	VS.	
5	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,	
6	Defendants.	
7	/	
8	MEL TYRONE EDWARD,	
9	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-2584 LKK KJM P	
10	vs.	
11	S. SCARSELLA, et al.,	
12	Defendants. ORDER	
13		
14	Plaintiffs are prisoners proceeding pro se with actions for violation of civil rights	
15	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Over the course of the last ten months, the court has referred these	
16	cases to the Civil Rights Clinic at the University of California, Davis School of Law with the	
17	request that clinic staff determine whether the clinic would be willing to represent plaintiffs in	
18	the above entitled actions. The referral orders directed the clinic to inform the court at the	
19	clinic's "earliest convenience" whether it would be willing to represent plaintiffs in these cases,	
20	or if it could otherwise identify counsel for plaintiffs. The clinic has not responded to any of the	
21	court's orders.	
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the court's prior	
23	orders, referring the above-entitled actions to the Civil Rights Clinic at the University of	
24		
25	/////	
26	/////	

## Case 2:00-cv-02811-GEB-EFB Document 85 Filed 06/23/05 Page 3 of 3

California, Davis School of Law with the request that clinic staff determine whether the clinic would be willing to represent plaintiffs, are hereby withdrawn. DATED: June 21, 2005. edwa2584.crc